

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,152	09/27/2000	Thomas D. Nord	2094B	6622
7	590 06/29/200	4	EXAMINER	
Terry T. Moy			LONEY, DONALD J	
P.O. Box 1927 Spartanburg, S			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Sparrame ang,	23000		1772	
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1 1			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	\mathcal{J}''			
Office Action Summary		09/672,152	NORD ET AL.	de			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Donald Loney	1772				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	dress			
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) d. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after that term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, no cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum but y period will apply and will expire SIX (6 by statute, cause the application to become to be considered.	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>r.</i> ommunication.			
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>08 April 2004</i> .					
, <u></u>	·	☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) <u>14-26</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>14-26</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideratior					
Applicat	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objecte	d to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	=, ,	·				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	·		` '			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received cuments have been received the priority documents have I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	l. I in Application No Deen received in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		view Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo No(s)/Mail Date	O/SB/08) 5) Notice	r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/672,152

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 14-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,340,514. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the foam rubber contains cylindrical protrusions, which are overlapping with applicant's Markush Group reciting circular shaped protrusions.
- 3. Claims 14-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-32 of U.S. Patent No. 6589631. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 14 contains oval and octagonal shaped protrusions as in instant claims 14.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,152

Art Unit: 1772

4. Claims 14-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,296,919. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the cylindrical protrusions are circular in shape as in the instant Markush Group.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The applicant did indicate in the response filed April 8, 2004 that appropriate terminal disclaimers would be provided to obviate the double patenting rejections. These are now kindly requested since the only outstanding rejections are of the double patenting type and can be overcome by the filing of appropriate terminal disclaimers. The application will then be in condition for allowance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Loney whose telephone number is (571) 272-1493. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri. 8AM-4PM, maxi-flex schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,152

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Donald Loney Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772

DJLD.Loney 06/25/04